Application No. Applicant(s) 09/681,354 FRIES ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Jason P Salce 2611 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Jens Jenkins (1) Jason P Salce. (4)_____. (2) _____. Date of Interview: 13 December 2004. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims 1, 13, 17 and 21 and newly proposed dependent claims. Identification of prior art discussed: All art used in the rejection of the previous Office Action that is of record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Disclosed proposed ammendments and possible ammendments. Examiner agreed to further search the proposed ammended claims upon reciept of applicant's response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

CHRIS GRANT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action

Exeminer's signature, if required